arXiv:1407.2706v1 [math.RT] 10 Jul 2014

SUSY structures, representations and Peter-Weyl theorem for $S^{1|1}$

C. Carmeli[♮], R. Fioresi[♭], S. Kwok[♭]

[♮] *DIME, Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*
e-mail: carmeli@dime.unige.it

[♭] *Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna*
Piazza di Porta S. Donato, 5. 40126 Bologna. Italy.
e-mail: rita.fioresi@UniBo.it, stephendiwen.kwok@unibo.it

Abstract

The real compact supergroup $S^{1|1}$ is analized from different perspectives and its representation theory is studied. We prove it is the only (up to isomorphism) supergroup, which is a real form of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$ with reduced Lie group S^1, and a link with SUSY structures on $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ is established. We describe a large family of complex semisimple representations of $S^{1|1}$ and we show that any $S^{1|1}$-representation whose weights are all nonzero is a direct sum of members of our family. We also compute the matrix elements of the members of this family and we give a proof of the Peter-Weyl theorem for $S^{1|1}$.

1 Introduction

In this paper we discuss the real compact supergroup $S^{1|1}$ and its complex representations from various perspectives. We will show that already in this case, the representation theory of compact supergroups is more subtle than its ordinary counterpart and we show how the Peter Weyl theorem has to be suitably modified.

We start with an introduction to real forms of complex supermanifolds taking into account also the functor of points point of view. We then discuss the real forms of supergroups using the equivalent language of Super Harish-Chandra Pairs (SHCP's) and we construct all of the real forms of the supergroup $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$ corresponding, in the ordinary setting, to the reduced group S^1. We first construct such real forms, via the SHCP's approach and then we recover the same real forms via a purely geometric approach, that is, via the functor of points. We also prove that, up to isomorphism, there is

only one of such real forms and we call it $S^{1|1}$, since its reduced group is S^1 and it is a real Lie supergroup of dimension $1|1$.

In the geometric approach, we furtherly see that all of the involutions giving rise to a real form of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$ come as the composition of a conjugation, whose reduced form is the usual complex conjugation, and the two SUSY preserving automorphisms $P_\pm$ (see Sec. 5). We prove in fact that $P_\pm$ are the only holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$, which preserve its SUSY structure, as Manin defines it in [15]. This connection between the real forms of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$ and the SUSY preserving automorphims is surprising, but only apparently. In fact the supergroup structure of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$ is best understood as modelled after the superalgebra $\mathbb{D}$ (refer to the work by Bernstein [6]), and furthermore SUSY structures can also be interpreted in the framework of $\mathbb{D}$. We hence believe that our paper helps to shed light to some aspects of SUSY curves, like their interpretation through the superalgebra $\mathbb{D}$, which have not yet been fully developed and understood.

In the second part of the paper, in Sec. 6 we describe the complex representations on $S^{1|1}$ and we give a concrete and constructive proof of the Peter-Weyl theorem in this context; then in Sec. 7 we go to a more abstract approach, via the SHCP's.

Acknoledgements. C.C. and S.K. wish to thank the Bologna Department of Mathematics for its hospitality while this work was completed. The authors also wish to thank prof. V. S. Varadarajan and prof. L. Migliorini for many illuminating discussions. A special thank goes to Prof. P. Deligne for a private communication on the construction of real structures of supermanifolds.

2 Preliminaries

We briefly summarize few definitions and key facts about supergeometry to establish our notation, for all the details see [3] and [6].

Let us take our ground field to be either the complex or the real field.

A *superalgebra* A is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra, $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$, where $p(x)$ denotes the parity of a homogeneous element x. The superalgebra A is said to be *commutative* if for any two homogeneous elements x, y, $xy = (-1)^{p(x)p(y)}yx$. All superalgebras are assumed to be commutative unless otherwise specified.

Definition 2.1. A *superspace* $S = (|S|, \mathcal{O}_S)$ is a topological space $|S|$ endowed with a sheaf of superalgebras $\mathcal{O}_S$ such that the stalk at a point $x \in |S|$ denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{S,x}$ is a local superalgebra. A *morphism* $\phi : S \longrightarrow T$ of superspaces is given by $\phi = (|\phi|, \phi^*)$, where $\phi : |S| \longrightarrow |T|$ is a map of topological spaces and $\phi^* : \mathcal{O}_T \longrightarrow \phi_* \mathcal{O}_S$ is a local sheaf morphism. A *differentiable (resp. analytic) supermanifold* of dimension $p|q$ is a super ringed space $M = (|M|, \mathcal{O}_M)$ where $|M|$ is a second countable, Hausdorff topological space, and $\mathcal{O}_M$ is a sheaf of superalgebras over $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}$), which is locally isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{p|q}$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}^{p|q}$). This means that for each point $x \in |M|$ there exists an open neighbourhood $U_x \subset |M|$ such that:

$$\mathcal{O}_M|_{U_x} \cong C^\infty_{\mathbb{R}^p}|_{U'_x} \otimes \wedge_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_q)$$

(resp. $\mathcal{O}_M|_{U_x} \cong \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}^p}|_{U'_x} \otimes \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_q)$) where $C^\infty_{\mathbb{R}^p}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}^p}$) is the ordinary sheaf of C^∞ functions over $\mathbb{R}^p$ (resp. holomorphic functions over $\mathbb{C}^p$), and $\wedge_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_q)$ (resp. $\wedge_{\mathbb{C}}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_q)$) denotes the real (resp. complex) exterior algebra in q variables and U'_x an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^p$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}^p$).

The given definition works also, with suitable changes, if one wants to define real analytic supermanifolds, however we shall be mostly interested in the real differentiable or the complex analytic category. For the moment our definitions are general enough to work in any of these three very different categories, hence we shall say "supermanifold" without further specifications, whenever our results or definitions do not depend on a specific one of the three categories.

Next, we introduce the notion of T-point and functor of points of a supermanifold.

Definition 2.2. Let M and T be supermanifolds. A *T-point* of M is a morphism $T \longrightarrow M$. We denote the set of all T-points by $M(T)$. We define the *functor of points* of the supermanifold M the functor:

$$M : (\text{smflds})^o \longrightarrow (\text{sets}), \quad T \mapsto S(T), \quad S(\phi)(f) = f \circ \phi,$$

where (smflds) denotes the category of supermanifolds and the index o as usual refers to the opposite category. We shall write $(\text{smflds})_{\mathbb{R}}$ or $(\text{smflds})_{\mathbb{C}}$ whenever it is necessary to distinguish between real or complex supermanifolds.

By Yoneda lemma, a supermanifold M can be studied through its functor of points (see [3], for more details).

We now define the real supermanifold underlying a complex supermanifold following [6].

Definition 2.3. Let $M = (|M|, \mathcal{O}_M)$ be a complex super manifold. We define a *complex conjugate* of M as a complex super manifold $\mathbb{M} = (|M|, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{M}})$, where now $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{M}}$ is just a supersheaf, together with a ringed space $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_M \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{M}}$. If we choose the supersheaf of the complex conjugate to be $\mathcal{O}_M$ with the $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear structure and the ringed space $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear isomorphism to be the identity, we call such complex conjugate $\overline{M} = (|M|, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}})$. For convenience we shall denote the map realizing the $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear isomorphism between M and $\overline{M}$ as $\sigma : M \longrightarrow \overline{M}$ and sometimes we shall write $(\sigma^*)^{-1}(f) = \overline{f}$ (though strictly speaking $\overline{f} = f$).

Remark 2.4. It is important to notice that when M is an ordinary complex manifold, the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}$ we just defined is not the sheaf of antiholomorphic functions on M, as one may expect, but it is isomorphic to it. If $\mathcal{O}_M^{\mathrm{ah}}$ is the sheaf of the antiholomorphic functions on M, that is for a suitable cover, we have:

$$\mathcal{O}_M^{\mathrm{ah}}(U) = \{\hat{f} \mid f \in \mathcal{O}_M(U)\}, \qquad \hat{f}(z) := \overline{f(z)}$$

$(\lambda \cdot \hat{f} = \hat{\lambda}\hat{f})$. Then we have the isomorphism: $\phi : \mathcal{O}_M^{\mathrm{ah}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}$, $\phi(\hat{f}) = f$. So $M^{\mathrm{ah}} := (|M|, \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{ah}})$ is a complex conjugate of M, but $\overline{M} \neq M^{\mathrm{ah}}$.

For convenience, from now on, we shall choose the complex conjugate to be $\overline{M}$, though the reader must be aware that this is one of the many possible choices and furthermore keep in mind the relation between the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}}$ and the sheaf of antiholomorphic functions in the ordinary case.

Definition 2.5. We define a *real structure* on M as an involutive isomorphism of ringed spaces $\rho : M \longrightarrow \overline{M}$, which is $\mathbb{C}$-linear on the sheaves, that is $|\rho| : |M| \longrightarrow |M|$ is involutive, i.e. $|\rho|^2 = \mathrm{id}$, and $\rho^* : \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}} \longrightarrow \rho_* \mathcal{O}_M$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear sheaf isomorphism. We furtherly define the isomorphism of ringed superspaces $\psi = \sigma^{-1} \circ \rho : M \longrightarrow M$, which is $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear on the sheaves $\psi^* = \rho^* \circ (\sigma^*)^{-1} : \mathcal{O}_M \longrightarrow \rho_* \mathcal{O}_M$.

Once a real structure $\rho\colon M \to \overline{M}$ is given, one defines the topological space $|M|^{|\rho|}$ consisting of the fixed points of $\rho : |M| \longrightarrow |M|$. Hence it is possible to consider the restriction $\mathcal{O}_M|_{M^\rho}$ and define the superspace

$(|M^\rho|, \mathcal{O}_M|_{M^\rho})$. The morphism ψ restricts to a morphism (still denoted by ψ)

$$\psi\colon (|M^\rho|, \mathcal{O}_M|_{M^\rho}) \to (|M^\rho|, \mathcal{O}_M|_{M^\rho})$$

whose reduced part is the identity. Hence it is meaningful to define the set $\mathcal{O}_{M^\rho}$ of sections such that $\psi^*(f) = f$, for each $f \in \mathcal{O}_M|_{M^\rho}$. We say that the real supermanifold $M^\rho = (|M|^{|\rho|}, \mathcal{O}_{M^\rho})$ is the *real form* of M defined by ρ.

We now want to take into account the real forms of a complex analytic supergroup. Let G be a complex supergroup, $\overline{G}$ inherits naturally a supergroup structure.

Definition 2.6. We say that a real structure ρ on G is a *real supergroup structure* if ρ is a supergroup morphism. As one can readily check the fact that ρ is a supergroup morphism guarantees that M^ρ is indeed a (real) supergroup; in fact we have on $\mathcal{O}_M$ the comultiplication, counit and antipode morphisms which suitably restrict to $\mathcal{O}_M|_{M^\rho}$.

Through the notion real form it is possible to define the concept of real underlying supermanifold, which is mostly important for us.

Definition 2.7. Define on $M \times \overline{M}$ the real structure $\tau : M \times \overline{M} \longrightarrow \overline{M} \times M$, where

$$|\tau| : |M| \times |M| \longrightarrow |M| \times |M|, \qquad |\tau|(x, y) = (y, x)$$

and $\tau^* : \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}\times M} \longrightarrow \tau_* \mathcal{O}_{M\times\overline{M}}$ is defined (on products of open sets) as:

$$\tau(f \otimes g) = g \otimes f \qquad f \in \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}},\ g \in \mathcal{O}_M.$$

Notice that it is enough to specify the image of $f \otimes g \in \mathcal{O}_{\overline{M}\times M}$ in order to have τ^* defined everywhere (see Ch. 4 [3]). If we choose local coordinates (z_i, θ_j) of M and (w_k, η_l) of $\overline{M}$, belonging to a suitable open cover of $|M|$, we have:

$$\tau^*(w_i \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes w_i,\ \tau^*(\eta_j \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes \eta_j,\ \tau^*(1 \otimes z_i) = z_i \otimes 1,\ \tau^*(1 \otimes \theta_j) = \theta_j \otimes 1$$

On the fixed points of $|\tau|$, that is on the diagonal $\Delta \subset |M| \times |M|$, the sheaf of the real supermanifold M^τ is locally given by the sections which are invariant under $\psi^* = \tau^* \circ ((\sigma^*)^{-1} \otimes \sigma^*) : \mathcal{O}_{M\times\overline{M}} \longrightarrow \tau_* \mathcal{O}_{M\times\overline{M}}$, namely

$$(z_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\sigma^*)^{-1}(z_i))/2, \quad (\theta_j \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\sigma^*)^{-1}(\theta_j))/2,$$

$$(z_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (\sigma^*)^{-1}(z_i))/2i, \quad (\theta_j \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (\sigma^*)^{-1}(\theta_j))/2i$$

and

$$(1 \otimes w_i + \sigma^*(w_i) \otimes 1)/2, \quad (1 \otimes \eta_j + 1 \otimes (\sigma^*)^{-1}(\eta_j))/2,$$

$$(1 \otimes w_i - \sigma^*(w_i) \otimes 1)/2i, \quad (1 \otimes \eta_j - 1 \otimes (\sigma^*)^{-1}(\eta_j))/2i.$$

Notice that the second set of invariant sections is not really a new one, since we may always choose $w_i = (\sigma^*)^{-1}(z_i)$ and $\eta_j = (\sigma^*)^{-1}(\eta_j)$, thus retrieving the previous set (in any case, even if we do not make the choice $w_i = (\sigma^*)^{-1}(z_i)$, w_i will be the image under $(\sigma^*)^{-1}$ of some element in $\mathcal{O}_M$ and similarly for η_j).

Notice that here the role of the real structure ρ (see Def. 2.5) is played by τ and the role of $(\sigma^*)^{-1}$ by $(\sigma^*)^{-1} \otimes \sigma^*$, since M^τ is a real form of $M \times \overline{M}$ corresponding to the real structure τ.

As we remarked, it is customary to denote $\overline{z}_i := (\sigma^*)^{-1}(z_i)$ and $\overline{\theta}_j := (\sigma^*)^{-1}(\theta_j)$, to forget the tensor product and furthermore to write the real local coordinates of M^τ as:

$$x_i = (z_i + \overline{z}_i)/2, \quad y_i = (z_i - \overline{z}_i)/2i, \qquad \mu_j = (\theta_j + \overline{\theta}_j)/2, \quad \nu_j = (\theta_j - \overline{\theta}_j)/2i.$$

We shall call M^τ the *real underlying supermanifold* and we shall denote it with $M_{\mathbb{R}}$.

We now turn to examine an example of particular importance to us.

Example 2.8. We want to construct $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}$, the real supermanifold underlying $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$. Let us denote $\overline{\mathbb{C}}^{1|1}$ the complex conjugate introduced above. We define the real structure τ on $\mathbb{C}^{1|1} \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{1|1}$ as follows. On the topological space we define $|\tau| : |\mathbb{C}| \times |\mathbb{C}| \longrightarrow |\mathbb{C}| \times |\mathbb{C}|$, $|\tau|(p,q) = (q,p)$ and on the sheaves as the $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism $\tau^* : \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}^{1|1} \times \mathbb{C}^{1|1}} \longrightarrow \tau_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{1|1} \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{1|1}}$, $\tau^*(w, \eta, z, \zeta) = (z, \zeta, w, \eta)$, where (z, ζ) and (w, η) global coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{C}}^{1|1}$ respectively. We associate to τ^* the $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear isomorphism $\psi^* = \tau^* \circ ((\sigma^*)^{-1} \otimes \sigma^*)$:

$$\psi^*(w, \eta, z, \zeta) = (\overline{z}, \overline{\zeta}, \overline{w}, \overline{\eta})$$

where the meaning of ψ^* is understood with the above conventions.

We warn the reader that the $^-$ in our definitions denotes both σ^* and $(\sigma^*)^{-1}$ as it is customary (refer to Remark 2.4 to relate it to the ordinary setting).

So the global coordinates on the real supermanifold $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are the elements inside $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}\times\overline{\mathbb{C}}^{1|1}}|_\Delta$ (Δ denoting the diagonal in $|\mathbb{C}^{1|1}|\times|\mathbb{C}^{1|1}|$):

$$x=(z+\overline{z})/2,\quad y=(z-\overline{z})/2i,\quad \mu=(\zeta+\overline{\zeta})/2,\quad \nu=(\zeta-\overline{\zeta})/2i \qquad (1)$$

which are evidently invariant under ψ^*. We can also think of z, $\overline{z}$, ζ, $\overline{\zeta}$ as the "generators" (in a topological sense) of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}}\otimes\mathbb{C}$ inside $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}\times\overline{\mathbb{C}}^{1|1}}|_\Delta$. Sometimes z, $\overline{z}$, ζ, $\overline{\zeta}$ are improperly called "coordinates" of $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}$, since one can readily recover from them the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}$ via the formula (1).

We now turn to the problem of examining the functor of points of $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since we have global coordinates x, y, μ, ν we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}(T) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathrm{smflds})_{\mathbb{R}}}(T,\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}})=\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathrm{salg})_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}),\mathcal{O}(T))=\\ &=\{\phi:\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}})\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}(T)\}=\\ &=\{(t_0,t_1,\theta_0,\theta_1)\,|\,t_i\in\mathcal{O}(T)_0,\,\theta_i\in\mathcal{O}(T)_1\}\end{aligned}$$

because we specify the morphism ϕ by giving the image of the four real sections x, y, μ, ν ($((\mathrm{salg}))_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the category of real commutative superalgebras). Notice that giving the real morphism ϕ is equivalent to give the complex morphism:

$$\phi':\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}(T)\otimes\mathbb{C}$$

In fact $\phi'(z)=t_0+it_1$, $\phi'(\zeta)=\theta_0+i\theta_1$, thus retrieving the four real elements t_0, t_1, θ_0, θ_1 which are the images of the four real generators detailed above. This shows that given ϕ' we can retrieve ϕ, but of course the other way around is clear too. Hence we can write equivalently

$$\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}(T)=\mathrm{Hom}_{(\mathrm{salg})_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{1|1}),\mathcal{O}(T)\otimes\mathbb{C}),\quad T\in(\mathrm{smflds})_{\mathbb{R}}$$

in accordance with the definition given in [7].

One may also describe the functor of points of $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}$ through the coordinates z, $\overline{z}$, ζ, $\overline{\zeta}$, in other words one looks at the morphisms:

$$\alpha:\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}})\otimes\mathbb{C}\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}(T)\otimes\mathbb{C}.$$

specified once we know the images of z, $\overline{z}$, ζ, $\overline{\zeta}$, with $\alpha(\overline{z})=\overline{\alpha(z)}$ and $\alpha(\overline{\zeta})=\overline{\alpha(\zeta)}$. Hence α is identified with the quadruple $(\alpha(z)=t,\alpha(\overline{z})=\overline{t},\alpha(\zeta)=\theta,\alpha(\overline{\zeta})=\overline{\theta})$, where $t=t_1+it_2$, $\overline{t}=t_1-it_2$, $\theta=\theta_1+i\theta_2$ and $\overline{\theta}=\theta_0-i\theta_1$. So again a morphism α is identified with the quadruple $(t_1,t_2,\theta_1,\theta_2)$ as above.

Given a complex supermanifold M the real form M^ρ associated with a real structure ρ may be realized as a submanifold of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ as follows.

Let Γ_ρ be the graph of ρ. Γ_ρ is a complex analytic subsupermanifold of $M \times \overline{M}$ with underlying topological space $|\Gamma_\rho| = (p, |\rho|(p))$, $p \in |M|$ and sheaf:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_\rho} = \mathcal{O}_{M\times\overline{M}}/\mathcal{I}$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal generated by the elements $1 \otimes f - \rho^*(f) \otimes 1$. Γ_ρ is isomorphic to M, as one can readily see in the language of the functor of points:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi_T : & M(T) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_\rho(T) \\ & t & \mapsto & (t, \rho_T(t)) \end{array}$$

We now consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |M|^{|\rho|} & \longrightarrow & \Delta \cap |\Gamma_\rho| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (|M|, \mathcal{O}_M) & \longrightarrow & (\Gamma_\rho, \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_\rho}) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms, while the vertical arrows mean the inclusion of the topological space into the corresponding supermanifold. Let $M^\rho_{\mathbb{C}} = (|M|^{|\rho|}, \mathcal{O}_{M^\rho} \otimes \mathbb{C})$. We have that:

$$\mathcal{O}_{M^\rho} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{O}_M|_{|M|^{|\rho|}}$$

Hence from the commutative diagram above, we have that:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_\rho}|_{\Delta\cap|\Gamma_\rho|} = (\mathcal{O}_{M\times\overline{M}}/\mathcal{I})|_{\Delta\cap|\Gamma_\rho|} = \mathcal{O}_{M^\rho} \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

from which we can easily retrieve the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{M^\rho}$. Locally it will be given by equations in the coordinates z_i, $\overline{z}_i$, ζ_j, $\overline{\zeta}_j$ we use to describe $\mathcal{O}_{M_{\mathbb{R}}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Let us look at an example to elucidate our discussion in a special case, which is of particular interest to us.

Example 2.9. Let us consider $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}$ discussed in Example 2.8 and consider the real structure $\rho : \mathbb{C}^{1|1} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{1|1}$ given by $|\rho|(p) = \overline{p}$, $p \in |\mathbb{C}|$ and $\rho^*(z) = \overline{z}$, $\rho^*(\zeta) = \overline{\zeta}$, once the global coordinates are chosen as in 2.8. Clearly $|\mathbb{C}|^\rho = |\mathbb{R}|$ and the real form $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\rho$ is retrieved as the real subsupermanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}$

obtained by taking the quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}}}$ by the ideal sheaf locally generated by:

$$z - \rho^*(z), \qquad \zeta - \rho^*(\zeta)$$

or equivalently by: $z - \overline{z}$, $\zeta - \overline{\zeta}$, where we need to reexpress the sections z, $\overline{z}$, ζ, $\overline{\zeta}$ in terms of the real coordinates x, y, μ, ν. The ideal sheaf is then generated by the elements y and ν, hence we retrieve the supermanifold $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ as one expects.

In terms of the functor of points we have that $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\rho(T)$ consists of $(t, s, \xi, \eta) \in (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})(T)$, that is t, s in $\mathcal{O}(T)_0$ and ξ, η in $\mathcal{O}(T)_1$ (refer to Example 2.8) with $s = \eta = 0$, hence $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\rho(T)$ is naturally identified with $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}(T)$. Equivalently we can write (see Example 2.8) as the elements in $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})(T)$ satisfying some relations:

$$\mathbb{R}^{1|1}(T) = \{(t, \overline{t}, \theta, \overline{\theta}) \in \mathbb{C}^{1|1}(T) \,|\, t = \overline{t},\, \theta = \overline{\theta}\} \tag{2}$$

where the t, $\overline{t}$, θ, $\overline{\theta} \in \mathcal{O}(T) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are the images of the "coordinates" z, $\overline{z}$, ζ, $\overline{\zeta}$ in the sense expressed in 2.8. We can retrieve the T-points $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}(T) = \{t_1, \theta_1)\}$ as $\{(t_1, 0, \theta_1, 0)\}$ simply by expressing t, $\overline{t}$, θ, $\overline{\theta}$ in (2) in terms of the real and imaginary parts.

3 Super Harish-Chandra Pairs and Real Forms

A *Lie supergroup* is group object in the category of supermanifolds. This is equivalent to ask that the functor of points is group valued. A Lie supergroup is *compact* if its underlying topological space is compact.

A very effective approach to the theory of Lie supergroups is via the SHCP's. We are going to briefly recall the definition and main property sending the reader to [3] Ch. 7 for all of the details.

Definition 3.1. Suppose $(G_0, \mathfrak{g})$ are respectively a group (real Lie or complex analytic) and a super Lie algebra. Assume that:

1. $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(G_0)$ (here $\simeq$ denotes real or complex linear isomorphism depending on the category we are considering),

2. G_0 acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ and this action restricted to $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the adjoint representation of G_0 on $\mathrm{Lie}(G_0)$. Morever the differential of such action is the Lie bracket. We shall denote such an action with Ad or as $g.X$, $g \in G_0$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Then $(G_0, \mathfrak{g})$ is called a *super Harish-Chandra pair (SHCP).*
A *morphism* of SHCP is simply a pair of morphisms $\psi = (\psi_0, \rho^\psi)$ preserving the SHCP structure that is:

1. $\psi_0 : G_0 \to H_0$ is a Lie group morphism (in the analytic or differential category);

2. $\rho^\psi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ is a super Lie algebra morphism (real or complex linear morphism depending on the category we are considering),

3. ψ_0 and ρ^ψ are compatible in the sense that:

$$\rho^\psi_{|\mathfrak{g}_0} \simeq d\psi_0 \qquad \mathrm{Ad}(\psi_0(g)) \circ \rho^\psi = \rho^\psi \circ \mathrm{Ad}(g)$$

The category of SHCP (denoted with (shcps)) is equivalent to the category of supergroups (denoted with (sgrps)) as the next proposition states. We refer the reader to [5] and [19] for all of the details. We shall write $(\mathrm{shcps})_{\mathbb{R}}$ or $(\mathrm{shcps})_{\mathbb{C}}$ whenever it is necessary to distinguish between real or complex SHCPs.

Theorem 3.2. *Define the functors*

$$\begin{array}{rcc} \mathcal{H} : (\mathrm{sgrps}) & \to & (\mathrm{shcps}) \\ G & \to & (G_0, \mathrm{Lie}(G)) \\ \phi & \to & (|\phi|, (d\phi)_e) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcc} \mathcal{K} : (\mathrm{shcps}) & \to & (\mathrm{sgrps}) \\ (G_0, \mathfrak{g}) & \to & (G_0, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}\big(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{O}_{G_0}\big)) \\ \psi = (\psi_0, \rho^\psi) & \to & f \mapsto \psi_0^* \circ f \circ \rho_\psi \end{array}$$

where G and $(G_0, \mathfrak{g})$ are objects and ϕ, ψ are morphisms of the corresponding categories (in the definition of $\mathcal{H}$, G_0 is the ordinary group underlying G). Then $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ define an equivalence between the categories of supergroups (differentiable or analytic) and super Harish-Chandra pairs (differentiable or analytic).

We now want to give the definition of real form, see Def. 2.5, through the language of SHCP's.

Definition 3.3. Let $(G_0, \mathfrak{g})$ be a complex analytic SHCP. We say that the pair (r_0, ρ^r) is a *real structure* on $(G_0, \mathfrak{g})$ if

1. $r_0 : G_0 \longrightarrow \overline{G_0}$ is a real structure on the ordinary complex group G_0, with fixed points being a real Lie group denoted by G_0^r. Notice that r_0 is an involutive automorphism of the ordinary real Lie group underlying G_0.

2. $\rho^r : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear involutive Lie superalgebra morphism, with its fixed points $\mathfrak{g}^r \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(G_0^r)$.

3. (r_0, ρ^r) are compatible in the sense of Def. 3.1, that is $(dr_0)_{1_{G_0}} = \rho^r_{|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}}$ and ρ^r intertwines the adjoint action. In other words (r_0, ρ^r) is an involutive automorphism of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g})$ as real Lie supergroup.

Furthermore, we say that given a real structure $r = (r_0, \rho^r)$, $(G_0^r, \mathfrak{g}^r)$ is a *real form* of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g})$.

Observation 3.4. If G is a complex supergroup, with SHCP $(G_0, \mathfrak{g})$, given a real form associated with a real structure r in the sense of Def. 2.5, we have that $(r_0, (dr)_{1_{G_0}})$ is a real form of $(G_0, \mathfrak{g})$, in the sense of Def. 3.3. Vice-versa, if we have a real form as in Def. 3.3, by the equivalence of categories in Theorem 3.2 we can associate to (r_0, ρ^r) a real structure as in Def. 2.5 and thus obtain a real form in the sense of Def. 2.5.

We conclude this section with the definition of representation of a supergroup, which works in the three categories of supergroups we have introduced: real differentiable, real analytic and complex analytic.

Definition 3.5. Let $G = (|G|, \mathcal{O}_G)$ be a supergroup and V a finite dimensional super vector space. A *representation* of G in V is a morphism of supergroups:

$$\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(V)$$

If we fix a basis for V, so that $V \cong k^{m|n}$ ($k = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$), we obtain a morphism of G into $\mathrm{GL}(m|n)$. Hence $\rho(G(T))$ consists of certain matrices in $\mathrm{GL}(m|n)(T)$ the invertible $m|n \times m|n$ matrices with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}(T)$, where T is a supermanifold. The function:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} a_{ij} : & G(T) & \mapsto & k^{1|1}(T) \\ & g & \mapsto & \rho(g)_{ij} \end{array}$$

which associates to each $g \in G(T)$ the (i,j) entry $\rho(g)_{ij}$ of the matrix $\rho(g)_{ij} \in \mathrm{GL}(m|n)(T)$ is called a *matrix element* or equivalently a *representative function* of the representation ρ. a_{ij} may be as well interpreted as an

element in $\mathcal{O}(G)$, since by the Chart's Theorem we have the correspondence between the morphisms of $G \longrightarrow k^{1|1}$ and the choice of a pair (that is the sum) of an even and an odd section in $\mathcal{O}(G)$.

We shall also be looking at complex representations of a real Lie supergroup G. This means that we look at morphisms of a real Lie supergroup G into the complex general linear supergroup viewed as a real supergroup (of twice the dimension). Hence a_{ij} in this case corresponds to an element of $\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ the complexification of $\mathcal{O}(G)$.

In Sec. 7 we are going to revisit the notion of matrix element of a supergroup associated with a given representation in the language of SHCP's.

4 The supergroup $S^{1|1}$

We want to construct the real supergroup $S^{1|1}$, a supergroup of dimension $1|1$ with reduced space S^1, as the unique compact real form of the supergroup $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$ using the language of SHCP's. We start with the description of the *skew-field* $\mathbb{D}$ introduced in [6]. As a super vector space, $\mathbb{D} \cong \mathbb{C}^{1|1}$, however its superalgebra structure will endow $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$ with a natural multiplication, turning it into a supergroup, as we shall presently see.

Definition 4.1. We define $\mathbb{D}_k$ as the noncommutative complex superalgebra

$$\mathbb{D}_k := \mathbb{C}[\theta_k], \quad \theta_k \text{ odd}, \quad \theta_k^2 = -k, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}$$

For any $k \neq 0$, $\mathbb{D}_k$ is a central simple superalgebra, as the reader will readily check, while $\mathbb{D}_0$ is a free commutative superalgebra on one odd variable. We will denote $\mathbb{D}_1$ simply by $\mathbb{D}$, and θ_1 by θ.

For $k \neq 0$, over the complex field, we have the isomorphism $\mathbb{D}_k \cong \mathbb{D}$, $\theta_k \mapsto \pm\sqrt{k}\theta$, where we may choose any of the two square roots of k. The opposite superalgebra $\mathbb{D}_k^o$ of $\mathbb{D}_k$ is $\mathbb{D}_{-k}$.

Remark 4.2. The $\mathbb{D}_k$ form a family of superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}^\times$ by $k \mapsto \mathbb{D}_k$ that we may study using deformation theory. The family $\mathbb{D}_k$ can be thought as a holomorphic deformation of superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}^\times$, with distinguished member $\mathbb{D}_1$. Further, this deformation should be locally trivial, but globally nontrivial (i.e., not isomorphic to a product deformation) because of the nonexistence of a holomorphic square root of z on $\mathbb{C}^\times$. We shall not pursue further this point in the present paper.

Consider now the functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F : (\text{smflds})^o & \longrightarrow & (\text{sets}) \\ T & \to & [\mathcal{O}_T \otimes \mathbb{D}_k]_0^\times \end{array}$$

where the index $\times$ denotes the units, the definition on the morphisms being clear.

We have quite immediately the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *The functor F is the functor of points of the analytic Lie supergroup $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times := (\mathbb{C}^\times, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}}|_{\mathbb{C}^\times})$ with group law:*

$$(w, \eta) \cdot (w', \eta') = (ww' + k\eta\eta', w\eta' + w'\eta), \qquad k \in \mathbb{C}$$

We leave to the reader the easy check that the given operation is a group law, with $(1, 0)$ the unit and $(w^{-1}, -w^{-2}\eta)$ the inverse of an element (w, η). Hence $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$ admits a family of analytic supergroup structures parametrized by k, corresponding to the family of superalgebra structures $\mathbb{D}_k^\times$ described above. We shall denote the supermanifold $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$ with the group structure depending on k as $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$.

The algebra isomorphisms $\mathbb{D}_k \to \mathbb{D}$ induce supergroup isomorphisms $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times \to (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_1^\times$ over the complex field for $k \neq 0$; consequently the supergroups $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ are all isomorphic as complex analytic supergroups, for $k \neq 0$.

We now turn to the description of the supergroup $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ in terms of SHCP's.

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1}$ of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ is generated by the left invariant vector fields

$$C = w\partial_w + \eta\partial_\eta, \qquad Z = -k\eta\partial_w + w\partial_\eta, \qquad k \in \mathbb{C}$$

with brackets:

$$[C, C] = [C, Z] = 0, \qquad [Z, Z] = -2kC$$

Hence the SHCP associated with $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ is $(\mathbb{C}^\times, \mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1})$. Again, all of these SHCP's are isomorphic (when $k \neq 0$), as the reader can readily check.

We now turn to the question of defining *real forms* of the supergroup $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$, which correspond to S^1 on the reduced part. We use first the SHCP's

approach. According to Def. 3.3 a real form of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^{\times}_{k}$ amounts to choosing a real form of the reduced group $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$. We choose the real form of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ to be S^1, and a $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear involution of $\mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1}$, which reduces to the suitable involution on the even part of $\mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1}$, that is the involution corresponding to the choice of S^1 as real form of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$. The most general form of such an involution of $\mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1}$ is:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \rho: & \mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1} \\ & C & \mapsto & -C \\ & Z & \mapsto & aZ \end{array} \tag{3}$$

Notice that C has to be mapped to $-C$ in order to have the corresponding group S^1 on the reduced part, while Z goes to a multiple of itself. A small calculation shows that: $a = \pm i\overline{k}/|k|$. Choose $a = i\overline{k}/|k|$ (the other case being the same).

The real form of $\mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1}$, consisting of those elements fixed by ρ is generated (over $\mathbb{R}$) by:

$$C' = iC, \qquad Z' = bZ$$

A small calculation on the brackets shows that the coefficient b must be chosen such that

$$\frac{b}{\overline{b}} = i\frac{\overline{k}}{|k|}$$

We have then a family of real forms of $\mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1}$ generated by $C' = iC$ and $Z' = bZ$ with brackets:

$$[C', C'] = [C', Z'] = 0, \qquad [Z', Z'] = -2|b|^2|k|C'$$

All of these superalgebras are isomophic over the reals, for $k \neq 0$.

We have proven the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1}$ admits up to isomorphism a unique real form $\mathfrak{g}_{k,\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$ with even part $\langle iC \rangle$, described above.*

We can then define the real Lie supergroup $S^{1|1}$ as the SHCP $(S^1, \mathfrak{g}_{k,\mathbb{R}}^{1|1})$, where S^1 acts trivially on $\mathfrak{g}_{k,\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$. Notice that, by the previous proposition, we have that all of such real supergroups are isomorphic.
By its very definition $S^{1|1}$ is a real form of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^{\times}$ and it is compact since its underlying topological space is compact.

5 A geometric approach to the supergroup $\mathcal{S}^{1|1}$

In our previous section we have established all of the possible involutions giving rise to the real forms of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ with the language of SHCP's. We now want to recover the same involutions at the supergroup level using the functor of points notation, so as to make our calculations more explicit.

We shall at first consider real structures given by the composition of a SUSY preserving holomorphic automorphism of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$, followed by a complex conjugation $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times \to (\overline{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}})_k^\times$ (refer to Def. 2.5). By our previous discussion of SHCP's, we will then see that all real structures giving us the real forms of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ are of this form. This fact is very remarkable, since the SUSY curves are in themselves very interesting objects, extensively studied by Manin in [15]. It is not so surprising though, because of the tight connection between $\mathbb{D}^\times$ and the SUSY structures (see for example [11] for a survey on basic facts of SUSY curves).

Let us start by briefly recalling the notion of SUSY structure as in [15], by Manin. A *SUSY-1 structure* (or SUSY structure for short) on a $1|1$ complex supermanifold X is a rank $0|1$ holomorphic distribution $\mathcal{D} \subseteq TX$ such that the Frobenius map

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} \to TX/\mathcal{D} \\ &Y \otimes Z \mapsto [Y, Z] \bmod \mathcal{D} \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

The supergroup $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ carries a natural right-invariant SUSY-1 structure, defined by the vector field

$$Z_k = k\eta\partial_w + w\partial_\eta$$

One may check that Z_k, Z_k^2 span the tangent space of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ at $(1, 0)$, hence since they are right-invariant, they span the tangent space of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ at every point. Thus the span of Z_k is a SUSY-1 structure. We can define the SUSY-1 structure from the dual point of view by using differential one-forms. Let $\omega_k = w\,dw - k\eta\,d\eta$. One checks that $ker(\omega_k) = \text{span}\{Z_k\}$ (see [11] for more details).

Proposition 5.1. *Let us consider the morphisms of analytic supermanifolds* $P_\pm : (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ *given by:*

$$P_\pm(w,\eta) = (w^{-1}, \pm i w^{-2}\eta)$$

where (w,η) *are global coordinates on* $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$*. Then* $P_\pm$ *are automorphisms of the supergroup* $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ *and furthermore they are the unique SUSY-1 preserving endomorphisms of* $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$*, that restrict to* $w \mapsto w^{-1}$ *on the reduced group* $\mathbb{C}^\times$*.*

Proof. We first check that $P_\pm$ are automorphism of the supergroup $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$:

$$\begin{aligned} P_\pm[(w,\eta)\cdot(w',\eta')] &= P_\pm(ww' + k\eta\eta', w\eta' + w'\eta) \\ &= ((ww' + k\eta\eta')^{-1}, \pm i(ww' + k\eta\eta')^{-2}(w\eta' + w'\eta)) \\ &= ((ww')^{-1} - k(ww')^{-2}\eta\eta', \pm i(w^{-1}w'^{-2}\eta' + w^{-2}w'^{-1}\eta)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_\pm(w,\eta)\cdot P_\pm(w',\eta') &= (w^{-1}, \pm i w^{-2}\eta)\cdot(w'^{-1}, \pm i w'^{-2}\eta') \\ &= (w^{-1}w'^{-1} - k(ww')^{-2}\eta\eta', \pm i(w^{-1}w'^{-2}\eta' + w^{-2}w'^{-1}\eta)) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now $F(w,\eta)$ is an endomorphism that restricts to $w \mapsto w^{-1}$ on $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Then $F(w,\eta) = (w^{-1}, g(w)\eta)$ for some function $g(w)$ of w. The SUSY-1 structure on $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ is determined by the differential form $w\,dw - k\eta\,d\eta$.

F preserves the SUSY-1 structure if and only if $F^*(\omega) = h(w)\omega$ for some even invertible function h (see [11], Lemma 5.2). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(w,\eta) &= w^{-1}\,d(w^{-1}) - g\eta\,d(g\eta) \\ &= -w^{-3}\,dw - g^2\eta\,d\eta \end{aligned}$$

The condition $F^*(\omega) = h(w)\omega$ is equivalent to $-w^{-3} = hw$, $h = g^2$. This is true if and only if $g^2 = -w^{-4}$, which in turn is true if and only if $g = \pm i w^{-2}$. □

We want to define *real forms* of the supergroups $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$. The next proposition establishes all of the possible real structures on $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$ which reduce to the usual complex conjugation on $\mathbb{C}^\times$ (i.e., the one induced by standard linear complex conjugation on $\mathbb{C}$). We shall refer to them as *complex conjugations* of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_k^\times$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $s_k : (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times_k \longrightarrow (\overline{\mathbb{C}}^{1|1})^\times_k$ ($k \neq 0$) be a supergroup real structure, reducing to the usual complex conjugation in $\mathbb{C}^\times$, i.e. $|s_k|$ is the usual complex conjugation on $|(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times|$. Then on T-points, s_k is of the form:*

$$s_k(w, \eta) = (\overline{w}, u\overline{\eta})$$

where $u^2 = \frac{\overline{k}}{k}$. In particular, u is a complex number of modulus 1.

Proof. Let us consider the action of s_k on the functor of T-points of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times_k$. Then since the restriction of s_k to the underlying space is ordinary linear complex conjugation, we have $s_k(w, \eta) = (\overline{w}, u\overline{\eta})$ at the level of T-points, where u is (the pullback to T of) an invertible even function.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} s_k(w, \eta) \cdot s_k(w', \eta') &= (\overline{w}, u\overline{\eta}) \cdot (\overline{w}', u\overline{\eta}') \\ &= (\overline{ww}' + ku^2\overline{\eta\eta}', u(\overline{w\eta}' + \overline{w}'\overline{\eta}) \\ s_k[(w, \eta) \cdot (w', \eta')] &= s_k(ww' + k\eta\eta', w\eta' + w'\eta) \\ &= (\overline{ww' + k\eta\eta'}, u(\overline{w\eta' + w'\eta})) \\ &= (\overline{ww}' + \overline{k}\overline{\eta\eta}', u(\overline{w\eta}' + \overline{w}'\overline{\eta}) \end{aligned}$$

We see then that s_k is a supergroup morphism if and only if $u^2 = \overline{k}/k$, where this holds for any T-point. This in turn implies that $u^2 = \overline{k}/k$ identically as functions. A calculation with the chain rule shows that u is constant. Taking the modulus of both sides of the equation $u^2 = \overline{k}/k$, we see that u is a complex number of modulus 1. It is readily checked that this implies s_k is involutive and hence a real structure. □

Consider the involutive isomorphism ρ_k obtained by composing P_+ with s_k (we choose $+$), (refer to Def. 2.5):

$$\rho_k : (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times_k \longrightarrow \overline{(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})}^\times_k, \qquad \rho_k(w, \eta) = (\overline{w}^{-1}, iu\overline{w}^{-2}\overline{\eta})$$

We now define the functor $X : (\text{smflds}) \longrightarrow (\text{sets})$ as the T-points of the real supermanifold $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})_{k,\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the relations obtained through ρ_k:

$$X_k(T) = \{(w, \eta, \overline{w}, \overline{\eta}) \in (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times_{k,\mathbb{R}}(T) \,|\, w = \overline{w}^{-1},\ \eta = iu\overline{\eta w}^{-2}\}$$

(we are under the convention explained in Examples 2.8 and 2.9). We notice immediately that $X_k(T)$ is group valued, since ρ_k is a supergroup morphism

by its very construction, but one can also verify this directly with a simple calculation.

We now want to show that X_k is the functor of points of a real analytic supergroup, which is indeed, as we shall see, $S^{1|1}$, described in the previous section in a very different language.

Most immediately X_k corresponds to the superspace $(S^1, \mathcal{O}_{X_k,\mathbb{C}})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{X_k,\mathbb{C}}$ is the quotient of the sheaf of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^{\times}_{k,\mathbb{R}}$ by the relations $w = \overline{w}^{-1}$, $\eta = iu\overline{\eta w}^{-2}$. This sheaf corresponds classically to the complex valued functions on the real analytic group S^1 defined as the fixed points in $\mathbb{C}$ by the involution $w \mapsto \overline{w}^{-1}$. In order to show that X_k is a supermanifold, we first need to consider a real form of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_k,\mathbb{C}}$ and then we need to find local coordinates at each topological point $x \in S^1$; such local coordinates at x will exhibit explicitly the local isomorphism $X_k|_U \cong \mathbb{R}^{1|1}$, U a neighbourhood of x.

We first write the real equations defining X_k in $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2|2}$. For simplicity, we set $k = 1$, hence $u = 1$, in the following calculations; the general case differs only slightly from this one and the details are left to the reader.

If we set the global real coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{2|2}$ as:

$$x = \frac{w + \overline{w}}{2}, \quad y = \frac{w - \overline{w}}{2i}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\eta + \overline{\eta}}{2}, \quad \zeta = \frac{\eta - \overline{\eta}}{2i}$$

we obtain the three real relations:

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1, \quad \sigma(x^2 - y^2) + 2xy\zeta = \zeta, \quad \zeta(x^2 - y^2) - 2xy\sigma = \sigma,$$

which define the structure sheaf of the real analytic superspace $X := X_1$ as a quotient of the sheaf of $\mathbb{R}^{2|2}$.

These three equations do not have linearly independent differentials at all topological points, so they do not cut out $S^{1|1}$ as a global complete intersection in $\mathbb{R}^{2|2}$. However, we can cover S^1 by two charts so that on the topological points satisfying $x^2+y^2 = 1$, that is for $x = \cos(t)$ and $y = \sin(t)$, we obtain that each of the two equations

$$\sigma(x^2 - y^2) + 2xy\zeta = \zeta, \quad \zeta(x^2 - y^2) - 2xy\sigma = \sigma,$$

is a multiple of the other.

Such charts correspond to the conditions $1-\sin(2t) \neq 0$ and $1+\sin(2t) \neq 0$; we note that $1-\sin(2t)$ and $1+\sin(2t)$ never simultaneously vanish as functions of t. Thus, under these assumptions, we have respectively

$$\zeta = \frac{\cos(2t)}{1-\sin(2t)}\sigma, \qquad \sigma = \frac{\cos(2t)}{1+\sin(2t)}\zeta,$$

providing the two sets of local coordinates:

$$(t,\sigma), \qquad \text{for } 1-\sin(2t) \neq 0 \text{ and } t \in (0, \tfrac{\pi}{4}) \cup (\tfrac{\pi}{4}, \tfrac{5\pi}{4}) \cup (\tfrac{5\pi}{4}, 2\pi)$$

$$(t,\zeta), \qquad \text{for } 1+\sin(2t) \neq 0 \text{ and } t \in (0, \tfrac{3\pi}{4}) \cup (\tfrac{3\pi}{4}, \tfrac{7\pi}{4}) \cup) \cup (\tfrac{7\pi}{4}, 2\pi)$$

Note that these charts are real analytic. Hence we have proven the superspace X is a real analytic supermanifold.

We can now state the main result of this section, relating this geometrical picture with the SHCP construction in the previous section.

Proposition 5.3. *1. The fixed points of the involution ρ_k define the functor of points of a real analytic Lie supergroup G, corresponding to the SHCP $S_k^{1|1} = (\mathbb{C}^\times, \mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1})$ defined in the previous section:*

$$S_k^{1|1}(T) = \{(w, \eta, \overline{w}, \overline{\eta}) \in (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times_{k,\mathbb{R}}(T) \mid w = \overline{w}^{-1},\ \eta = iu\overline{\eta w}^{-2}\}$$

and any two such are isomorphic for all values of $k \neq 0$.

2. Any real structure on $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times_k$ is obtained by composing a SUSY preserving automorphism of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times_k$ with a complex conjugation, hence it is one of the ρ_k.

Proof. According to the discussion before the statement of the theorem, the only thing that remains to be checked is the fact that the Lie superalgebra of $S_k^{1|1}$ is $\mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1}$.

The problem reduces to computing the differential of:

$$\rho_k : (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times_k \longrightarrow (\overline{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}})^\times_k \quad \rho_k^*(\overline{w}, \overline{\eta}) = (w^{-1}, iuw^{-2}\eta)$$

at the point $(1,0)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$. Note here that we are not using the functor of points notation, but we are specifying the pullbacks of the global coordinates $\overline{w}, \overline{\eta}$ on $(\overline{\mathbb{C}^{1|1}})^\times_k$ under ρ_k.

The $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $(d\rho_k)_{(1,0)}$ is identified with the real structure on $T_{(1,0)}(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times_k = \mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1}$. A simple calculation shows that

$$(d\rho_k)_{(w,\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} -w^{-2} & -2iuw^{-3}\eta \\ 0 & iuw^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$(d\rho_k)_{(1,0)}(C) = -\overline{C}, \qquad (d\rho_k)_{(1,0)}(Z) = iu\overline{Z}$$

where $\overline{C}, \overline{Z}$ are the conjugate of the basis C, Z of $\mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1}$. Now regarding the matrix of $(d\rho_k)_{(1,0)}$ as the matrix representing the corresponding $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear map, we see these are exactly the conditions we have in (3), which define $\mathfrak{g}_k^{1|1}$. □

From now on and for the rest of this note we shall then take $k = 1$.
We end this section with a remark on the universal cover of $S^{1|1}$.

Remark 5.4. We want to show that the real additive supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ with group law:

$$(t, \tau) \cdot (t', \tau') = (t + t' + \tau\tau', \tau + \tau'). \tag{4}$$

is the supergroup corresponding topologically to the universal cover of $S^{1|1}$, that is, we have a surjective morphism of supergroups $\mathbb{R}^{1|1} \longrightarrow S^{1|1}$, which is a local diffeomorphism. Consider first the complex analytic Lie supergroup $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ with same group law as (4) and the super exponential map $\mathrm{Exp} : \mathbb{C}^{1|1} \to (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$, $\mathrm{Exp}(z, \zeta) = (e^z, e^z\zeta)$. We are going to check that $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ is the universal cover of $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$, that is, its topological space is simply connected and we define a surjective morphism from $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ to $(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^\times$ which is a local diffeomorphism. Let us define:

$$p(t, \tau) = (e^{it}, e^{\pi i/4}e^{it}\tau)$$

We show that p maps $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ into $S^{1|1}$; it is enough to verify that p is invariant under $s = s_1$.

$$\begin{aligned} s \circ p(t, \tau) &= \phi((e^{it}, e^{2\pi i/4}e^{it}\tau)) = ((\overline{e^{it}})^{-1}, i\overline{e^{-2it}}\overline{e^{\pi i/4}e^{it}\tau}) = (e^{it}, ie^{-\pi i/4}e^{it}\tau) \\ &= (e^{it}, e^{\pi i/4}e^{it}\tau) = p(t, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Hence p is a homomorphism of the supergroup $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ into the supergroup $S^{1|1}$. The map of reduced spaces is surjective, with discrete kernel, hence a covering map of Lie supergroups.

6 The representations of $S^{1|1}$

In the language of SHCP's (refer to Sec. 2) we can identify the supergroup $S^{1|1}$ with the SHCP $(S^1, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1})$, hence a representation of $S^{1|1}$ consists of a pair: a representation of S^1 together with a representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$ satisfying some compatibility conditions (see [3] Ch. 7 for the details).

We describe a large family of complex semisimple representations of $S^{1|1}$ and we show that any $S^{1|1}$-representation, whose weights are all nonzero, is a direct sum of members of our family. We also calculate the matrix elements of the members of this family.

We denote with (π_0, ρ^{π_0}) the trivial representation of $S^{1|1}$ on $\mathbb{C}$. It is the representation defined by

$$\pi_0(t) = \mathbb{1}, \qquad \rho^{\pi_0} = 0$$

We then define, for each $m \neq 0$, a key class of $1|1$-dimensional representations of $S^{1|1}$ as follows. The reduced group S^1 acts with integer weight m on V: $t \cdot v := t^m v$, for all $v \in V$, $\dim(V) = 1|1$. It remains to define the action of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$. The action of C is obtained by differentiating the action of S^1: $C \cdot v = mv$. We require that there is a homogeneous basis v_0, v_1 such that in this basis, Z is given by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-m} \\ \sqrt{-m} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easily checked that the commutation relations for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$ are satisfied, so that this defines a representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$, that we denote with:

$$(\pi_m, \rho^{\pi_m}) \qquad (m \neq 0)$$

We shall call a representation defined as above a *super weight space of weight* m. For each m there are two super weight spaces of weight m, depending on the choice of $\sqrt{-m}$, but both choices yield isomorphic representations since the matrices:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \sqrt{-m} \\ \hline \sqrt{-m} & 0 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & -\sqrt{-m} \\ \hline -\sqrt{-m} & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

are seen to be conjugate by the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} i & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -i \end{array}\right).$$

which certainly commutes with the action of the reduced group S^1, thus with that of C.

It is easily seen that a super weight space of weight m, $m \neq 0$, is an irreducible representation of $S^{1|1}$. The next theorem shows that, under appropriate finiteness assumptions, all $S^{1|1}$ representations (with one key exception) are obtained as direct sums of super weight spaces.

Theorem 6.1. *Let V be a complex linear representation of the super Lie group $S^{1|1}$. Suppose that the representation of the reduced group S^1 on V contains no trivial subrepresentations, and that for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the super vector space $\{v \in V : t \cdot v = t^m v \text{ for all } t \in S^1\}$ is finite-dimensional. Then V is isomorphic to a direct sum of super weight spaces. In particular, if V is irreducible and the weight of S^1 on V is nonzero, then V is a super weight space.*

Proof. Let (π, ρ^π) be a finite dimensional representation of the SHCP $(S^1, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1})$ in V. It is well known that any complex representation of S^1 is a direct sum of weight spaces, hence, we have a direct sum decomposition of ungraded vector spaces $V = \oplus V_m$, where S^1 acts on V_m by $t \cdot v = t^m v$.

By the definition of a SHCP representation, we have that

$$\rho^\pi(g \cdot X) = \pi(g)\rho^\pi(X)\pi(g^{-1})$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$, $g \in S^1$. Since the adjoint action of S^1 on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$ is trivial, the action of ρ^π commutes with the action of S^1. Therefore the weight spaces V_m are ρ^π-invariant, and are thus $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$ subrepresentations of V. So $V = \oplus V_m$ as representations of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$. We may therefore assume from now on that $V = V_m$ for some m. By hypothesis m is nonzero, and V_m finite-dimensional. We must analyze the endomorphism ρ^π. Since C and Z generate $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$, it is enough to determine the action of $\rho^\pi(C)$ and $\rho^\pi(Z)$. Since C is just the infinitesimal action of S^1, we have $\rho^\pi(C) = mI$. Note that we have:

$$2\rho^\pi(Z)^2 = [\rho^\pi(Z), \rho^\pi(Z)] = \rho^\pi([Z, Z]) = -\rho^\pi(2C) = -2mI$$

Hence $\rho^\pi(Z)^2 = -mI$. By the finite-dimensionality hypothesis, this implies $\rho^\pi(Z)$ is diagonalizable, considered as an endomorphism of the ungraded vector space V. The eigenvalues of $\rho^\pi(Z)$ are the square roots of $-m$. We choose one particular square root and denote it by $\sqrt{-m}$. Then V splits into eigenspaces for $\pm\sqrt{-m}$, each of which is invariant under Z, hence under C and thus under the SHCP of $S^{1|1}$. Hence we may further assume that V is the $\sqrt{-m}$ eigenspace; the argument for the $-\sqrt{-m}$-eigenspace will be the same.

From now on, we will abuse notation and denote the endomorphism $\rho^\pi(Z)$ by Z. Let w be any eigenvector of Z, $w = w_0 + w_1$ its homogeneous decomposition. Now

$$Z(w_0) + Z(w_1) = \sqrt{-m}w_0 + \sqrt{-m}w_1.$$

Since Z is odd, $Z(w_0) = \sqrt{-m}w_1$, $Z(w_1) = \sqrt{-m}w_0$. It follows that both the even and odd components of w are nonzero, for if either w_0 or w_1 were zero then w would be zero. Applying this reasoning to a (not necessarily homogeneous) basis of eigenvectors of V implies that there exists a homogeneous basis of V, $v_1, \ldots, v_n, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n$ such that $Z(v_i) = \sqrt{-m}\nu_i, Z(\nu_i) = \sqrt{-m}v_i$. Thus each pair v_i, ν_i spans a super weight space of weight m and V is a direct sum of super weight spaces of weight m, as desired. It follows immediately that if V is irreducible with nonzero S^1-weight, V is a super weight space. □

Remark 6.2. We now show that the assumption that S^1 acts with nonzero weight is essential for reducibility, by producing an $S^{1|1}$-representation such that the reduced group S^1 acts with weight 0, which has a nontrivial subrepresentation, but is not a direct sum of irreducibles.

We will define such a representation on $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ as follows. We take the action of S^1 to be the trivial action, so C acts by zero. We fix a homogeneous basis u, w of $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$, and define an action of Z by:

$$Z \cdot u = w, \qquad Z \cdot w = 0.$$

One checks that these actions of C and Z satisfy the commutation relations for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$, so that we have indeed defined an action of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ and hence an action of the SHCP $(S^1, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1|1})$. We denote this representation with

$$(\pi_-, \rho^{\pi_-})$$

and we notice that it is not completely reducible, since the span of w is a nontrivial $S^{1|1}$-invariant subspace which does not admit an invariant complement. We have not formulated a notion of reductivity for super Lie groups, but the existence of representations of $S^{1|1}$ that are not completely reducible means that merely carrying over the ordinary definition will not work.

Next we want to write explicitly the representations described in 6.1 and compute their matrix elements. We are also going to realize $S^{1|1}$ as a real subgroup of the special unitary supergroup SU(1|1).

Let us consider the real Lie superalgebra:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{su}(1|1) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} ix & z \\ -i\overline{z} & ix \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \mathrm{span}\left\{ -iI = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}\end{aligned}$$

described in detail at pg 111 in [18].

It is possible to construct the real Lie supergroup corresponding to this Lie superalgebra:

$$\mathrm{SU}(1|1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & \beta \\ -i\overline{\beta}a^2 & \overline{a}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a\overline{a}(1+i\beta\overline{\beta}) = 1 \right\}$$

(notice that the relation $a\overline{a}(1+i\beta\overline{\beta}) = 1$ corresponds to setting the berezinian equal to 1 after some calculation). This real supergroup has dimension $1|2$.

If we impose $\overline{\beta} = -\beta\overline{a}^2$, we obtain the following subgroup:

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & \beta \\ -i\beta & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a\overline{a} = 1 \right\}$$

whose multiplication is precisely the multiplication in $S^{1|1}$. The Lie superalgebra of G is:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Lie}(G) &= \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ iI = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \\ \mathrm{su}(1|1) &= \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ iI = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}\end{aligned}$$

We now want to compute the matrix elements for the action on $S^{1|1}$ on an irreducible space.

We start with what we know on the Lie algebra elements, namely that irreducible complex representations are of dimension $1|1$ with basis $v_\pm$, such representations ρ_m are parametrized by the integer m and iI acts as miI, while U as:

$$\rho_m(iI)v_\pm = imv_\pm, \qquad \rho_m(U)v_\pm = \sqrt{-m}v_\mp$$

To compute the matrix elements we need to compute the exponential of such action:

$$exp(\rho_m(iI)t+\rho_m(U)\theta) = e^{\rho_m(iI)t}(I+\rho_m(U)\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{imt} & \sqrt{-m}e^{imt}\theta \\ -i\sqrt{-m}e^{imt}\theta & e^{imt} \end{pmatrix}$$

The entries of this matrix are the super coefficients of the representation. Notice that the diagonal entries of the matrix are even, while the off-diagonal entries are odd sections. Hence, it is evident that matrix elements of this form are not enough to give all ordinary representative functions since the trivial characters are missing. In order to obtain the trivial odd coefficient we need to add the non-semisimple representation (π_-, ρ^{π_-}) defined in Remark 6.2.

Hence we have proven directly in this special case the Peter Weyl theorem.

Theorem 6.3. The super Peter-Weyl theorem for $S^{1|1}$. *The complex linear span of the matrix coefficients of the representations $\{(\pi_m, \rho^{\pi_m})\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and (π_-, ρ^{π_-}) is dense in $\mathcal{O}(S^{1|1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.*

We will give another proof of this result in the next section.

7 SHCP's approach

We now want to show an alternative proof of the Peter-Weyl theorem for $S^{1|1}$ through the language of the SHCP's. We will briefly discuss representation coefficients in general.

Let G be a compact real Lie supergroup. The associated super Harish-Chandra pair is given by $(G_0, \mathfrak{g})$, where G_0 is the reduced Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ the super Lie algebra of G. We recall (see the discussion in Section 3) that the sheaf of G is canonically isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{O}(G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{O}(G_0)) \tag{5}$$

We are interested in the finite dimensional complex representations of G. In the following if V denotes a finite dimensional, complex vector space, V^*

denotes the corresponding dual and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the pairing between V and V^*. With $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ we denote the vector space V viewed as a real vector space.

We are after matrix elements also called representative functions. Let us consider the definition in the ordinary setting.

Definition 7.1. Let G_0 be a Lie group. If $\pi : G_0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is a representation, $\omega \in V^*$ and $v \in V$ we define the associated *matrix element*:

$$c_{\omega,v}(g) = \langle \omega, \pi(g)v \rangle$$

For the subsequent discussion we remark that, denoting with $a \colon G_0 \times V \to V$ the linear action associated with the representation π through

$$a(g, v) = \pi(g)v \,, \tag{6}$$

a matrix element can also be written as

$$c_{\omega,v}(g) = \langle a^*(\omega)(g), v \rangle$$

where $a^* : V^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G_0) \otimes V^*$.

Notice that if V is a complex vector space $c_{\omega,v} \in \mathcal{O}(G_0) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

If $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim V}$ is a basis of V, and $\{e_i^*\}_{i=1}^{\dim V}$ is the corresponding dual basis, then

$$c_{ij}(g) = \langle e_i^*, \pi(g)e_j \rangle$$

is the (i, j) entry of the matrix representing $\pi(g) \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ in the basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim V}$. In particular a matrix element is an element in $\mathcal{O}(G_0) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ the complexification of the algebra of global sections on G_0.

Notice that the very definition of the pull-back of a morphism is:

$$\pi^* \colon C^\infty(\mathrm{GL}(V)_{\mathbb{R}}) \to C^\infty(G_0)$$

Hence the matrix element $c_{\omega,v}$ is obtained by applying $\pi^* \otimes \mathbb{1}\!\mathrm{l}_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the complex function on G_0

$$\mathrm{GL}(V) \to \mathbb{C} \quad A \mapsto \langle \omega, Av \rangle \tag{7}$$

We now want to approach the matrix elements via the SHCP. We start by introducing the notions of representation and action in supergeometry.

A morphism $\pi : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ of supergroups, corresponds to a complex linear action $a : G \times V \longrightarrow V$. In the following we make explicit the latter notion. A complex linear action of the SLG G on the complex vector space V is a super algebra map

$$a^* \colon \mathcal{O}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$$

obeying the usual commutative diagrams for an action, and such that, by the linearity requirement,

$$a^* : V^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes V^* \tag{8}$$

where, with abuse of notation, we denote with a^* the map $a^* \otimes 1\!\!1_C$.

The previous discussion justifies the next definition.

Definition 7.2. We say that G *acts linearly* on the complex linear vector space V if a complex linear morphism

$$a^* : V^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes V^*$$

is given, such that

$$(\mu^* \otimes 1\!\!1_{V^*})a^* = (1\!\!1_{\mathcal{O}_G} \otimes a^*)a^* \qquad (\mathrm{ev}_e \otimes 1\!\!1_{V^*})a^* = 1\!\!1_{V^*}$$

where $\mu \colon G \times G \to G$ is the group multiplication and ev_e is the evalutation at the identity of G (i.e. the pull-back of the embedding $\{e\} \hookrightarrow G$).

In SHCP theory, we have that (see (5))

$$\mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_0)}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{O}(G_0) \otimes \mathbb{C})$$

Definition 7.3. Given $\omega \in V^*$ and $v \in V$, we define $c_{\omega,v} \in \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ the *matrix element* associated with ω and v as follows (compare with (6)):

$$c_{\omega,v}(X)(g) = \langle a^*(\omega)(X)(g), v \rangle \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\,,\ g \in G_0\,.$$

Notice that $a^*(\omega) \in \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes V^*$ and the arguments $X \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $g \in G_0$ have to be thought as relative to the first component $\mathcal{O}(G)$.
In SHCP theory we have that the action a corresponds to the pair (see, [6]):

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{a} &: G_0 \times V \longrightarrow V, & \rho &: \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{End}}(V^*)^{op} \subset Vec(V)^{op} \\ \underline{a} &= a \circ (i \times 1\!\!1_V) & \rho(X) &= (X \otimes 1) \circ a^* \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $i : G_0 \longrightarrow G$ is the canonical embedding. We stress that here V is viewed as a supermanifold. Moreover, we have the "reconstruction" formula:

$$a^*(\omega)(X) = (1_{\mathcal{O}(G_0)} \otimes \rho(X))\underline{a}^*(\omega) \tag{10}$$

From the action $(\underline{a}, \rho)$ we define a representation $(\tilde{\pi}, \rho^\pi)$ of the SHCP $(G_0, \mathfrak{g})$ on $(\mathrm{GL}(V_0) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_1), \underline{\mathrm{End}}(V))$ by

$$\tilde{\pi}(g)^* = (ev_g \otimes 1)\, \underline{a}^* \qquad \rho^\pi(X) = \rho(X)^* \tag{11}$$

Let us stress once again the difference between (9) and (11). In the former V is considered as a supermanifold, while in the latter as a super vector space.

Now we go about an important step.

Lemma 7.4. *Let the notation be as above. Then we have:*

$$c_{\omega,v}(X)(g) = \langle\, (ev_g \otimes \rho(X))\underline{a}^*(\omega), v\rangle = \langle\, \omega, \tilde{\pi}(g)\rho^\pi(X)v\rangle.$$

Proof. As already noticed, in the super setting it is natural to generalize (6) defining:

$$c_{\omega,v}(X)(g) = \langle\, a^*(\omega)(X)(g), v\,\rangle$$

Using (10), we have

$$c_{\omega,v}(X)(g) = \langle\, (ev_g \otimes \rho(X))\underline{a}^*(\omega)\,,\, v\,\rangle$$

Hence, using (11), we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\omega,v}(X)(g) \;&= \langle a^*(\omega)(X)(g), v\rangle = \langle (1_{\mathcal{O}(G_0)} \otimes \rho(X))\underline{a}^*(\omega)(g), v\rangle = \\ &= \langle\, (ev_g \otimes \rho(X))\underline{a}^*(\omega), v\rangle = \langle\, \omega, \tilde{\pi}(g)\rho^\pi(X)v\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

which gives our claim. □

We want to prove the following theorem, which is the Peter-Weyl theorem for $S^{1|1}$ in the language of SHCP's.

Proposition 7.5. *The complex linear span of the matrix coefficients of the representations $\{(\pi_m, \rho^{\pi_m})\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$, and (π_-, ρ^{π_-}) is dense in $\mathcal{O}(S^{1|1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. We need the following facts from the previous section. Let C, Z denote the basis of $\mathrm{Lie}(S^{1|1})$, defined in Section 4:

$$C = w\partial_w + \eta\partial_\eta, \quad Z = -\eta\partial_w + w\partial_\eta$$

We use the results from Section 6. If $m \neq 0$ there is an irreducible representation (π_m, ρ^{π_m}) of $S^{1|1}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$. Let v, ν be a homogeneous basis of $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ with $|v| = 0$, and $|\nu| = 1$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_m(t)v = \chi_m(t)v, \quad \pi_m(t)\nu = \chi_m(t)\nu \\ \rho^{\pi_m}(Z)v = \sqrt{m}\nu, \quad \rho^{\pi_m}(Z)\nu = \sqrt{m}v \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_m(t) = t^m$.

We have, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$c_{m;v^*,v}(1)(t) = \langle\, v^*, \pi_m(t)v \,\rangle = \chi_m(t)$$

$$c_{m;v^*,\nu}(Z)(t) = \langle\, v^*, \pi_m(t)\rho^{\pi_m}(Z)\nu \,\rangle = \sqrt{m}\chi_m(t)$$

For the representation (π_-, ρ^{π_-}) defined in Remark 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{-;v^*,v}(1)(t) = \langle\, v^*, \pi_-(t)v \,\rangle = 1 \\ c_{-;v^*,\nu}(1)(t) = \langle\, v^*, \pi_-(t)\rho^{\pi_-}(Z)\nu \,\rangle = 1 \end{aligned}$$

We have to prove that for each $\phi \in \mathcal{O}(S^{1|1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and for each $\epsilon > 0$, and for each $X \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathrm{Lie}(S^{1|1}))$, there exist irreducible representations $(\pi_{m_1}, \rho^{\pi_{m_1}}), \ldots, (\pi_{m_n}, \rho^{\pi_{m_n}})$ and vectors $\{(\omega_{m_1}, v_{m_1}), \ldots, (\omega_{m_n}, v_{m_n})\}$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in S^1} \left| \left[D_X^L(\phi - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{m_i;\omega_i,v_i}) \right]^{\sim} (z) \right| < \epsilon$$

Notice that in this expression we allow $m_i = -$.

We use the identification:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathrm{Lie}(S^1))} \left(\mathfrak{U}((\mathrm{Lie}(S^{1|1}), \mathcal{C}^\infty(S^1)\right) \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(S^1)\,[1, Z^*] \\ \phi \mapsto \phi_0 + \phi_1 Z^* \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi_0 = \phi(1) \quad \phi_1 = \phi(Z)$$

By PBW theorem (see [17]), we have to consider two cases: $X = C^n Z$, and $X = C^n$. We only consider the former, the latter being simpler.

$$\sup_{z\in S^1}\left|\left[D^L_{C^nZ}(\phi - \sum_{i=1}^n c_{\omega_i,v_i})\right]^{\sim}(z)\right| = \sup_{z\in S^1}\left|\left[\phi(C^nZ) - \sum_{i=1}^n c_{\omega_i,v_i}(C^nZ)\right](z)\right|$$
$$= \sup_{z\in S^1}\left|D^L_{C^n}\left(\phi(Z) - \sum_{i=1}^n c_{\omega_i,v_i}(Z)\right)(z)\right|$$

Let $\omega_i = c_i\nu^*$ and $v_i = v$. By the previous calculation, we have:

$$= \sup_{z\in S^1}\left|D^L_{C^n}\left(\phi_1 - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i\langle\, \nu^*, \pi_i(\cdot)\nu\,\rangle\right)(z)\right|$$
$$= \sup_{z\in S^1}\left|D^L_{C^n}\left(\phi_1 - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i\chi_i(\cdot)\right)(z)\right|$$

The results now follows from the ordinary one (see [4]). □

References

[1] F. A. Berezin. *Introduction to superanalysis*. D. Reidel Publishing Company, Holland, 1987.

[2] F. A. Berezin., Leites, *Supermanifolds*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vol. 224, no. 3, 505-508, 1975.

[3] C. Carmeli, L. Caston, R. Fioresi, *Mathematical Foundation of Supersymmetry*, with an appendix with I. Dimitrov, EMS Ser. Lect. Math., European Math. Soc., Zurich, 2011.

[4] T. Brocker, T. Tom Dieck *Representations Of Compact Lie Groups*, Springer Verlag, GTM, 1985.

[5] C. Carmeli, R. Fioresi, *Superdistributions, analytic and algebraic super Harish-Chandra pairs*. Pacific J. Math. 263 (2013), no. 1, 29-51.

[6] P. Deligne, J. Morgan, *Notes on supersymmetry (following J. Bernstein)*, in: "Quantum fields and strings. A course for mathematicians", Vol. 1, AMS, 1999.

[7] R. Fioresi, *Compact forms of Complex Lie Supergroups* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 218 (2014), no. 2, 228-236.

[8] R. Fioresi, F. Gavarini, *Algebraic supergroups with Lie superalgebras of classical type*. J. Lie Theory 23 (2013), no. 1, 143-158.

[9] R. Fioresi, F. Gavarini, *Chevalley supergroups*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 215 (2012), no. 1014.

[10] R. Fioresi, F. Gavarini, *On the construction of Chevalley supergroups*. Supersymmetry in mathematics and physics, 101-123, Lecture Notes in Math., 2027, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[11] R. Fioresi, S. D. Kwok *On SUSY curves*, in "Advances in Lie Superalgebras" M. Gorelik, Papi, P. (Eds.), Springer INdAM Series, **7** (2014).

[12] R. Fioresi, M. A. Lledo *The Minkowski and conformal superspaces*, World Sci. Publishing, 2014.

[13] S. D. Kwok, *Some results in supersymmetric algebraic geometry*, Ph. D. thesis, UCLA, 2011.

[14] D. A. Leites, *Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds*, Russian Math. Surveys **35**: 1 (1980), 1-64.

[15] Y. I. Manin, *Topics in non commutative geometry*; Princeton University Press, 1991.

[16] Y. I. Manin, *Gauge field theory and complex geometry*; translated by N. Koblitz and J.R. King. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1988.

[17] V. S. Varadarajan. *Lie groups, Lie algebras, and their representations*. Graduate Text in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

[18] V. S. Varadarajan, *Supersymmetry for mathematicians: an introduction*, Courant Lecture Notes **1**, AMS, 2004.

[19] E.G. Vishnyakova. *On Complex Lie Supergroups and Homogeneous Split Supermanifolds*, Transformation Groups, Vol. 16, No. 1, 265-285, 2010.